

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,302	08/28/2003	Yukiko Kubota	Yukiko Kubota S01.12-0965/STL 6926 11036.00		
	7590 11/02/2004			EXAMINER	
David C. Bohn Westman, Champlin & Kelly			RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 Second Avenue South			1773		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/650,302	KUBOTA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Holly Rickman	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.				
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	n .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-22 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7,23 and 24 is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 <i>August 2003</i></u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	and the priority decamends have been received.						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachma=+	(6)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) 🔀 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Patent and Tee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o) [_] Other	•				

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-28, drawn to a magnetic recording medium and method of making, classified in class 428, subclass 694TM.
  - II. Claims 29-33, drawn to a magnetic recording medium and means for texturing, classified in class 360, subclass 135.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the article as claimed can be made by a materially different apparatus that has a textured substrate.
- 3. Inventions Group I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the method as claimed can be practiced by a materially different apparatus that includes a substrate having a roughened surface without the use of a means for texturing the soft magnetic underlayer.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,302

Art Unit: 1773

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

Page 3

purposes as indicated is proper.

non-elected invention.

5. During a telephone conversation with David Bohn on 10/21/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-28. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 29-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,302

Art Unit: 1773

Claim 10 is rendered indefinite by the following limitations: "copper/iridium-manganese" and "tantalum/copper." It is not clear from the claims if these are alloys (CuIrMn or Ta-Cu) or whether the "/" signifies "or" (i.e., Ta or Cu)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-6, 8-22, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carey et al. (US 2003/0022023) in view of Shimizu et al. (US 2002/0004148).

Carey et al. teach a magnetic recording medium having a seedlayer formed from a Cu-IrMn laminate, a multilayered soft magnetic layer formed from Fe65Co35 layers separated by nonmagnetic coupling layers and a magnetic recording layer thereon. The reference teaches that the easy axis orientation is circumferential and the soft magnetic underlayer is devoid of domain walls (paragraphs 3, 5, 10, 12, 14, 16, 28, 30). With respect to the claim limitation directed to a magnetic moment greater than 1.7 T, it is the Examiner's contention that the Fe65Co35 soft magnetic layers taught by Carey et al. inherently satisfy this limitation by virtue of the fact that magnetic moment is a material property and Applicant's teach using the same material.

Carey et al. is silent with respect to the texturing of the soft magnetic underlayer to provide circumferential easy axis orientation.

Art Unit: 1773

Shimizu et al. teaches circumferentially texturing a substrate beneath a soft magnetic underlayer in a recording structure in order to effect texturing of the soft magnetic layer thereby reducing spike noise (see paragraph 34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to circumferentially texture the substrate taught by Carey et al. in order to provide a soft magnetic underlayer having texture, thereby decreasing spike noise.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 7 and 23-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773

hr

October 29, 2004